

## REFERENCES

- Adebisi, Y. A. (2022). Undergraduate students' involvement in research: Values, benefits, barriers and recommendations. *Annals of Medicine and Surgery*, 81, 780–800. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2022.104384>
- Ahmad, I., & Gul, R. (2023). Impact of Online Service-Learning on Civic and Social Justice Behavior of Undergraduate Laboratory-Based Graduates. *Human Arenas*, 6, 639–654. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s42087-021-00244-9>
- Allen, J. A., Fosler, K., & Prange, K. (2021). All service-learning experiences are NOT created equal! Effects of service-learning quality on self-efficacy and engagement. *Journal of Higher Education Outreach and Engagement*, 25(4).
- Bingle, R. G. (2017). Hybrid high-impact pedagogies: Integrating service-learning with three other high-impact pedagogies. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, Fall 2017, 49–63. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3998/mjcsloa.3239521.0024.105>
- Bingle, R. G., & Hatcher, J. A. (1996). Implementing service learning in higher education. *The Journal of Higher Education*, 67(2), 221–239. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00221546.1996.11780257>
- Celio, C. I., Durlak, J., & Dymnicki, A. (2011). A meta-analysis of the impact of service-learning on students. *Journal of Experiential Education*, 34(2), 164–181.
- Cielocha, J. J. (2022). Service-Learning Through Citizen Science in a COVID-Adapted Classroom. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 52(2) 9–16.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. Sage Publications.
- DeBoer, G. E. (2000). Scientific literacy: Another look at its historical and contemporary meanings and its relationship to science education reform. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*: The Official Journal of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, 37(6), 582–601. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1098-2736\(200008\)37:6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1098-2736(200008)37:6)
- Eisenhart, M., Finkel, E., & Marion, S. F. (1996). Creating the conditions for scientific literacy: A re-examination. *American Educational Research Journal*, 33(2), 261–295. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3102/00028312033002261>
- Ehrlich, T. (2000). *Civic Responsibility and Higher Education*. Oryx Press.
- Eyler, J., Giles Jr, D. E., Stenson, C. M., & Gray, C. J. (2001). At a glance: What we know about the effects of service-learning on college students, faculty, institutions and communities, 1993-2000. Third Edition. *Higher Education*. 139.
- Finley, A. & McNair, T. (2013). Assessing underserved students' engagement in high-impact practices. *Association of American Colleges and Universities*.
- Gallini, S. M., & Moely, B. E. (2003). Service-learning and engagement, academic challenge, and retention. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 10(1), 5–14.
- Gelmon, S.B., Holland, B.A., Driscoll, A., Spring, A., & Kerrigan, S. (2006). *Assessing Service-Learning and Civic Engagement: Principles and Techniques*. Campus Compact.
- Giles Jr, D. E., & Eyler, J. (1994). The theoretical roots of service-learning in John Dewey: Toward a theory of service-learning. *Michigan Journal of Community Service Learning*, 1(1), 77–85.
- Gormally, C., Brickman, P., & Lutz, M. (2012). Developing a test of scientific

- literacy skills (TOSLS): Measuring undergraduates' evaluation of scientific information and arguments. *CBE Life Science Education*, 11(4), 364–377. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1187/cbe.12-03-0026>
- Hayford, B., Blomstorm, S., & DeBoer, B. (2014). STEM and service-learning: Does service-learning increase STEM literacy? *International Journal of Research on Service-Learning and Community Engagement*, 2(1), 32–43. <http://dx.doi.org/10.37333/001c.002001004>
- Hayford, B., Blomstrom, S., & Mumpower, L. A. (2015). Formation and Assessment of a Tool to Evaluate STEM Literacy in Service-Learning Projects. *Journal on Excellence in College Teaching*, 26(4), –101.
- Hobson, A. (2008). The surprising effectiveness of college scientific literacy courses. *The Physics Teacher*, 46(7), 404–406. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.2981285>
- Migiro, S.O., & Magangi, B.A. (2011). Mixed methods: A review of literature and the future of the new research paradigm. *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(10), 3757-3764.
- Miller, J. D. (1983). Scientific literacy: A conceptual and empirical review. *Daedalus*, 29–48.
- Miller, J. D., Ackerman, M. S., Laspra, B., & Huffaker, J. (2021). The acquisition of health and science information in the 21st century. *The Information Society*, 37(2), 82-98. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01972243.2020.1870022>
- National Science Board, National Science Foundation. (2020). *Science and Technology: Public Attitudes, Knowledge, and Interest*. Science and Engineering Indicators 2020. NSB-2020-7. Alexandria, VA. Available at <https://nces.nsf.gov/pubs/nsb20207/>.
- Owusu-Agyeman, Y., & Fourie-Malherbe, M. (2019). Students as Partners in the Promotion of Civic Engagement in Higher Education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 46(6), 1241–1255. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2019.1666263>
- Reynolds, J. A., & Ahern-Dodson, J. (2010). Promoting Science Literacy Through Research Service-Learning--an Emerging Pedagogy with Significant Benefits for Students, Faculty, Universities, and Communities. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 39(6), 24–29.
- Taylor, T.B. & Kridler, J. (2013). Reflections on the impact of service-learning/experiential education for the field of human services. *Serve InDEED-Tennessee Journal of Service-Learning and Civic Engagement*, 2(2), 25-28.
- The National Task Force on Civic Learning and Democratic Engagement. (2012). *A Crucible Moment: College Learning and Democracy's Future*. Association of American Colleges and Universities.